

Thermal expansion and magnetostriction of pure and doped $RAgSb_2$ (R = Y, Sm, La) single crystals

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2008 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 20 115210 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/20/11/115210) View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 29/05/2010 at 11:09

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 20 (2008) 115210 (10pp)

Thermal expansion and magnetostriction of pure and doped $RAgSb_2$ (R = Y, Sm, La) single crystals

S L Bud'ko¹, S A Law^{1,4}, P C Canfield¹, G D Samolyuk¹, M S Torikachvili² and G M Schmiedeshoff³

¹ Ames Laboratory US DOE and Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA

² Department of Physics, San Diego State University, San Diego, CA 92182, USA

³ Department of Physics, Occidental College, Los Angeles, CA 90041, USA

Received 7 November 2007, in final form 17 January 2008 Published 20 February 2008 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysCM/20/115210

Abstract

Data on temperature-dependent, anisotropic thermal expansion in pure and doped RAgSb₂ (R = Y, Sm, La) single crystals are presented. Using the Ehrenfest relation and heat capacity measurements, uniaxial pressure derivatives for long range magnetic ordering and charge density wave transition temperatures are evaluated and compared with the results of the direct measurements under hydrostatic pressure. In-plane and *c*-axis pressure have opposite effects on the phase transitions in these materials, with in-plane effects being significantly weaker. Quantum oscillations in magnetostriction were observed for the three pure compounds, with the possible detection of new frequencies in SmAgSb₂ and LaAgSb₂. The uniaxial (along the *c*-axis) pressure derivatives of the dominant extreme orbits (β) were evaluated for YAgSb₂ and LaAgSb₂.

(Some figures in this article are in colour only in the electronic version)

1. Introduction

The RAgSb₂ series of compounds crystallizes in a simple tetragonal ZrCuSi₂-type structure (P4/nmm, No. 129) [1, 2]. Members of the family show rich and complex electronic and magnetic properties, including charge density wave (CDW) transitions in LaAgSb₂ [3, 4], anisotropic, ferromagnetic, Kondo-lattice behavior in CeAgSb₂ [3, 5–8] and low temperature, crystalline electric field (CEF) governed metamagnetism in RAgSb₂ compounds with R = heavy rare earth [3, 9]. Recent increased attention to this family is partially due to the successful growth of high quality single crystals [3] that are suitable for detailed, anisotropic thermodynamic and transport measurements as well as for studies of the Fermi surfaces (FS) of these materials through measurements of quantum oscillations [8, 10–12].

In this work we report measurements of anisotropic thermal expansion (TE) and longitudinal $(H \parallel L \parallel c)$

magnetostriction (MS) for pure members of the series: nonmagnetic YAgSb₂ and LaAgSb₂ and antiferromagnetic (below \sim 8.6 K) SmAgSb₂ as well as two samples in which La is partially substituted with either Ce $(Ce_{0.2}La_{0.8}AgSb_2)$ or Nd (Nd_{0.25}La_{0.75}AgSb₂). YAgSb₂ behaves as a rather simple, normal metal with no phase transitions observed at ambient pressure below the room temperature [3]. Temperature-dependent resistivity and magnetic susceptibility measurements on LaAgSb2 show two features, a stronger one at $T_1 \approx 210$ K and a more subtle one at $T_2 \approx 185$ K [3, 4]. The features in resistivity are reminiscent of charge density wave (CDW) transitions. An x-ray scattering study [4] revealed that indeed both features are the signatures of CDW orderings, with the one at T_1 corresponding to a development of periodic charge/lattice modulation along the a-axis with the wavevector $\tau_1 \sim 0.026(2\pi/a)$ and the one at T_2 marking an additional CDW ordering along the c-axis with the wavevector $\tau_2 \sim 0.16(2\pi/c)$. Both CDW orderings were shown to be consistent with the enhanced nesting in the different parts of the LaAgSb₂ Fermi surface [4]. The higher temperature CDW transition was shown to be very sensitive to pressure and/or

⁴ Present address: Department of Physics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA.

rare-earth-site substitution [13–15]. In both doped samples the higher temperature charge density wave transition is suppressed down to ~110 K, additionally, in Ce_{0.2}La_{0.8}AgSb₂ single-ion-Kondo-like behavior in the resistivity is observed at low temperatures and a ferromagnetic transition is detected at \approx 3.2 K [13, 14]. It should be mentioned that the pressure derivatives of the higher temperature CDW transition temperatures in the two doped samples differ by more than a factor of two from each other [14].

Since the anisotropic thermal expansion data in the RAgSb₂ family are available only for CeAgSb₂ [16, 17] (data for polycrystalline LaAgSb₂ are also presented in [16]) we deem it to be desirable, specifically for detailed analysis of the results similar to one in [17] to have experimental TE data for a non-magnetic analog. Furthermore, anisotropic pressure derivatives of CDW, Curie and Néel transition temperatures may be estimated by combining heat capacity and anisotropic TE data, potentially shedding some light on the reason for the variation of the dT_{CDW}/dP for the different materials under study.

2. Experimental methods and computational details

Plate-like RAgSb₂ crystals were solution grown [18] from Sb-rich self-flux (see [3, 9] for further details on the growth procedure). Thermal expansion data were obtained using a capacitive dilatometer constructed of OFHC copper; a detailed description of the dilatometer is presented elsewhere [19]. The dilatometer was mounted in a Quantum Design PPMS-14 instrument and was operated over a temperature range of 1.8–300 K in magnetic field up to 140 kOe. The samples were cut and polished so as to have parallel surfaces perpendicular to the [100] and [001] directions with the distances L between the surfaces ranging between 0.5 and 2 mm. Heat capacity of the samples was measured using a hybrid adiabatic relaxation technique of the heat capacity option in a Quantum Design PPMS-14. Field-dependent magnetization for several samples was measured using a Quantum Design MPMS-7 SQUID magnetometer. The pressure dependence of the Néel temperature of SmAgSb₂ was measured by following, as a function of pressure, the sharp feature (caused by loss of spin-disorder scattering at $T_{\rm N}$) in the in-plane resistance. Pressure was generated in a Teflon cup filled with 60:40 mixture of n-pentane and light mineral oil inserted in a 33 mm outer diameter, non-magnetic, piston-cylinder-type, Be-Cu pressure cell with a core made of NiCrAl (40 KhNYu-VI) alloy. The pressure at room temperature was monitored by a manganin, resistive pressure gauge. At low temperatures the pressure value was determined from the superconducting transition temperature of pure lead [20]. The temperature environment for the pressure cell was provided by a PPMS instrument. Near the Néel transition the temperature was changed at 0.5 K min⁻¹ rate and stabilized at every measured point (so that the effective rate was about 0.2 K min^{-1}). An additional Cernox sensor, attached to the body of the cell, served to determine the temperature of the sample for these measurements (the temperature difference between the PPMS sensor and the sensor on the cell depends both on the



Figure 1. Sketch of two types of anomalies, step-like (a) and λ -like (b), observed in the experimental data below, with an outline of Δ value determination used in this work.

temperature range and on the nominal cooling/warming rate and in ours was ranging between a few tenths of a degree at low temperatures and a few degrees near room temperature).

In part of the data analysis below we need to extract the values of the jumps in the heat capacity and linear and volume thermal expansion at the second order phase transitions of different origin. An accurate evaluation of such jumps may be a non-trivial undertaking [21] in particular if reliable extension of the fits to the transition region is essential. For simplicity, here we use a primitive procedure outlined in figure 1, that in cases other than CDW transitions in Ce_{0.2}La_{0.8}AgSb₂ and Nd_{0.25}La_{0.75}AgSb₂ as measured by $C_p(T)$ (see below), is not expected to give an error in excess of 10–15%.

The electronic structure of YAgSb₂ was calculated using the atomic sphere approximation, tight binding linear muffintin orbital (TB-LMTO-ASA) method [22, 23] within the local density approximation (LDA) with Barth–Hedin [24] exchange–correlation at experimental values of the lattice parameters $c/a_0 = 2.4525$ and under conditions of uniaxial stress $c/a_+ = c/a_0 + \Delta = 2.5015$ and uniaxial pressure $c/a_- = c/a_0 - \Delta = 2.4034$ ($\Delta = 2\%$ of the c/a value). The unit cell volume and the sizes of the atomic spheres were kept constant in the calculations. A self-consistency of the potential was obtained using 637 \vec{k} points in the irreducible part of the Brillouin zone. The Fermi surface was calculated using 18 081 \vec{k} points.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. YAgSb₂

The anisotropic thermal expansion of YAgSb₂ is shown in figure 2. In-plane thermal expansion is larger than that along the *c*-axis by a factor of \sim 1.8. The data can be represented, reasonably well (dashed lines in figure 2), within a simple Debye approximation [25] with a temperature-independent Grüneisen parameter (in many materials the value of the



Figure 2. Anisotropic, temperature-dependent, linear and volume thermal expansion of YAgSb₂. Dashed lines show Debye fits with $\Theta_D = 215$ K.

Grüneisen parameter is approximately 1–2 [26]). The Debye temperature, $\Theta_D = 215$ K, used in this fit is well within the range of the Θ_D values evaluated for other RAgSb₂ (R = rare earth) materials [6, 11, 17].

Magnetostriction of YAgSb₂ at the base temperature is rather small, $|\Delta L/L_0(H)| < 0.5 \times 10^{-6}$ at 140 kOe for both orientations of the applied magnetic field. When magnetic field is applied along the c-axis, clear de Haas-van Alphen (dHvA)like oscillations of the MS are observed (figure 3). These oscillations are observed up to at least 25 K. A fast Fourier transform (FFT) of these data allows the identification of four frequencies (figure 3(a), inset), consistent with those observed in torque and magnetization [10]. The effective masses corresponding to these frequencies are consistent with those reported earlier [10]. The occurrence of quantum oscillations in MS is a known phenomenon [27], however observations of such oscillations are rather rare, since both large, high quality single crystals and sensitive dilatometers are required. The amplitude of the MS oscillations along the *i*-axis, ϵ_i , can be written as [27]

$$\epsilon_i = -MH \frac{\partial \ln S_m}{\partial \sigma_i} \tag{1}$$

where *M* is the amplitude of the oscillations in magnetization, H is a magnetic field (we will not distinguish between H and B for the materials studied in this work), S_m is the extremal cross-sectional area of the Fermi surface perpendicular to the direction of the applied field and σ_i is the stress along the *i*-axis. From the equation above one can see that the oscillations in MS can be used to study Fermi surfaces of metals on a par with more traditional quantum oscillations in magnetization and magnetoresistance. Due to the additional factor, $\partial \ln S_m / \partial \sigma_i$, the orbits with high sensitivity to stress have a chance to be resolved easier by magnetostriction measurements (and vice versa, stress-insensitive orbits can be easily missed). Finally, if the same orbit is detected in both, magnetization and MS measurements (preferably on the same sample), the stress derivative of the extremal cross-sectional area of the Fermi surface can be estimated. Such estimates are



Figure 3. Oscillations in longitudinal magnetostriction of YAgSb₂ $(H \parallel L \parallel [001])$. (a) $\Delta L/L_0(H)$ taken at T = 1.8 K. Inset: fast Fourier transform of the same data in $\Delta L/L_0(1/H)$ form, the observed frequencies are labeled in accordance with [10]. (b) Quantum oscillations of magnetostriction at temperatures up to 25 K plotted as a function of 1/H.

potentially very useful since the direct measurement of Fermi surfaces under uniaxial stress is difficult and rare.

Figure 4 shows the oscillations corresponding to the β orbit (in notation of [10]) as seen by MS and magnetization. Using the equation above, the uniaxial stress derivative for this orbit is estimated to be $\partial \ln S_{\beta}/\partial \sigma_c \approx -16 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ dyn}^{-1}$. In principle, one can obtain the uniaxial stress derivatives of the non-dominant frequencies by comparing the corresponding



Figure 4. Quantum oscillations in YAgSb₂ ($H \parallel [001]$, T = 1.8 K), as measured by magnetostriction (upper panel) and magnetization (lower panel).

FFT amplitudes, in such case care should be taken to determine the relative phase of the magnetization and MS oscillations which defines the sign of the stress derivative.

The calculated Γ -X-M cross section of the YAgSb₂ Fermi surface for different c/a values is shown in figure 5. These calculations are consistent with previous publications [10]. Cross-section areas of several Fermi surface sheets, β , γ and δ' , increase under uniaxial pressure along the *c*axis (c/a_-) and decrease under uniaxial stress along the *c*axis (c/a_+). Qualitatively, the computational results for β orbit are consistent with the aforementioned experimental data. Quantitative comparison between the band structure calculations and the experiment requires use of the elastic constants tensor for YAgSb₂ that is not known at this point.

3.2. $SmAgSb_2$

The anisotropic, temperature-dependent thermal expansion of SmAgSb₂ is shown in figure 6. Near room temperature the thermal expansion of SmAgSb₂ is similar to that of YAgSb₂ (figure 2). On cooling, $\alpha_c(T)$ changes sign to negative below ~115 K, passes through a broad minimum around 50 K and then changes sign again at ~20 K. The behavior of $\alpha_a(T)$ between the room temperature and ~20 K is less dramatic, although the difference from the $\alpha_a(T)$ behavior in YAgSb₂ is clearly seen below ~100 K. These features in



Figure 5. (a) Γ –X–M cross section of the Fermi surface of YAgSb₂; (b) enlarged part near X-point; (c) enlarged part near Γ -point. Cross sections of the Fermi surface are labeled in agreement with the notation in [10]. The solid (green) line corresponds to experimental c/a_0 , dashed (red) to c/a_+ , dotted (blue) to c/a_- .



Figure 6. (a) Anisotropic temperature-dependent linear and volume thermal expansion of SmAgSb₂. (b) Enlarged low temperature part of the graph in panel (a). Inset to (b)—low temperature heat capacity data.

the SmAgSb₂ are possibly related to the crystalline electric field (CEF) effects (cf with the data of CeAgSb₂ [17]). At the same time the volume thermal expansion $\beta(T)$ in the ~20–300 K temperature range is similar for both materials (figures 2 and 6). Clear, λ -shaped, features associated with the long range order antiferromagnetic transition at $T_N \approx$ 8.7 K [3] are seen in heat capacity and linear and volume thermal expansion (figure 6(b)). The peak in $\alpha(T)$ is negative for the measurements along the *a*-axis and positive along the *c*-axis, that sums up to a (smaller) positive peak in volume thermal expansion $\beta(T)$, these signs are opposite to the ones observed at the ferromagnetic transition in CeAgSb₂ [17]. The initial uniaxial pressure derivatives of the second order phase transitions can be estimated using the Ehrenfest relation [25]:

$$\mathrm{d}T_{\mathrm{crit}}/\mathrm{d}p_i = \frac{V_{\mathrm{m}}\Delta\alpha_i T_{\mathrm{crit}}}{\Delta C_P} \tag{2}$$

where $V_{\rm m}$ is the molar volume, $\Delta \alpha_i$ is a change of the linear (i = a, c) or volume $(\alpha_V = \beta)$ thermal expansion coefficient at the phase transition, and ΔC_P is a change of the specific heat at the phase transition. Using experimental



Figure 7. In-plane resistance of SmAgSb_2 under hydrostatic pressure (different curves correspond to the pressure values at low temperatures 0, 2.5, 10, 16.7, and 18.6 kbar), the arrow indicates the direction of increasing pressure. Lower right inset: enlarged low temperature part of the main panel. Upper left inset: Néel temperature as a function of pressure: circles—from the onset of R(T), triangles—from the maximum of dR/dT; dotted lines are linear fits to the data.

values: $V_{\rm m} = 1.181 \times 10^{-4} \, {\rm m}^3 \, {\rm mol}^{-1}$, $T_{\rm N} \approx 8.7 \, {\rm K}$, $\Delta \alpha_a \approx -2.6 \times 10^{-5} \, {\rm K}^{-1}$, $\Delta \alpha_c \approx 7.3 \times 10^{-5} \, {\rm K}^{-1}$, $\Delta \beta \approx 2.3 \times 10^{-5} \, {\rm K}^{-1}$, and $\Delta C_P \approx 18.7 \, {\rm J} \, {\rm mol}^{-1} \, {\rm K}^{-1}$, we can estimate initial uniaxial and hydrostatic pressure derivatives of the Néel temperature in SmAgSb₂: ${\rm d}T_{\rm N}/{\rm d}p_a \approx -0.14 \, {\rm K} \, {\rm kbar}^{-1}$, ${\rm d}T_{\rm N}/{\rm d}p_c \approx 0.4 \, {\rm K} \, {\rm kbar}^{-1}$, ${\rm d}T_{\rm N}/{\rm d}P \approx 0.13 \, {\rm K} \, {\rm kbar}^{-1}$, so the Néel temperature decreases under uniaxial pressure along the *a*-axis and increases (with almost factor of three higher rate) under uniaxial pressure along the *c*-axis.

Estimated hydrostatic pressure derivative can be compared with the measured value. Figure 7 shows the in-plane resistance of SmAgSb₂ as a function of pressure. Room temperature resistivity decreases under pressure with the derivative, $d \ln \rho_{300 \text{ K}}/dP \approx -4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ kbar}^{-1}$ (room temperature value of pressure were used for this estimate, see e.g. [28] for a discussion of pressure-temperature relation in a piston-cylinder cells). A similar but a factor of ${\sim}2$ faster decrease of the room temperature resistivity was also observed for LaAgSb₂ [14]. At low temperatures, just above the magnetic transition, resistivity of SmAgSb2 increases under pressure and at base temperature, 2 K, it is practically pressure-independent, consistent with the residual resistivity being pressure-independent in our measurements. The Néel temperature of SmAgSb₂ increases with pressure (figure 7, upper left inset). Two criteria were used to determine T_N : an onset of R(T) and a maximum in dR/dT [29]. The latter criterion gives $T_{\rm N}$ values consistent with thermodynamic measurements, whereas the former yields slightly higher $T_{\rm N}$, still both of them give similar pressure derivatives, $dT_N/dP =$ 0.067 ± 0.003 K kbar⁻¹ and $dT_N/dP = 0.064 \pm 0.01$ K kbar⁻¹, for the onset and dR/dT maximum criteria respectively.

The estimate of the dT_N/dP from the Ehrenfest relation is consistent with the direct measurements in its sign but gives a





Figure 8. Oscillations in longitudinal magnetostriction of SmAgSb₂ $(H \parallel L \parallel [001])$. (a) Quantum oscillations of magnetostriction at temperatures up to 25 K plotted as a function of 1/H (non-oscillatory background subtracted); (b) fast Fourier transform of the T = 1.85 K data in $\Delta L/L_0(1/H)$ form, see the text for labeling of the observed frequencies. Insets to (b): left—enlarged low-frequency part of the graph; right—effective masses of several observed frequencies. New frequencies (ω and γ) are marked by red symbols in the electronic version.

value that is almost a factor of two larger than that measured. However, in terms of absolute amounts this difference is rather small ($<0.1 \text{ K kbar}^{-1}$) and is probably due to the accumulation of the error bars from all three measurements.

Quantum oscillations in the longitudinal MS were readily observed for $T \leq 25$ K in SmAgSb₂ for $H \parallel [001]$

(figure 8(a)). A FFT spectrum of these oscillations at T =1.85 K is shown in figure 8(b). The spectra is more complex than that of $YAgSb_2$ (figure 3) and is in general agreement with previous works [10, 12]. Several details are noteworthy: the dominant frequency in the MS oscillations is α , $F_{\alpha} \approx$ 0.57 MG, the γ' frequency, first reported in [12], is seen adjacent to the β frequency in the MS measurements as well. A very small, new, frequency, marked here as ω $(F_{\omega} \approx 0.12 \text{ MG})$, appears to be present in the spectrum. Band structure calculations usually are not reliable in the description of such small FS pockets. Detailed experimental studies are required to unambiguously exclude an artifactitious origin of this frequency. Finally, our data suggest that the frequency (slightly lower than 3 MG) identified in [10] as a third harmonic of β -frequency, is actually an independent orbit, marked here as γ ($F_{\gamma} \approx 2.82$ MG). The amplitude of this frequency in MS measurements is almost a factor of two higher than that of β , that makes its initial identification as 3β unlikely [30]. Based on band structure calculations for SmAgSb₂ [12] it seems natural to associate this frequency with the γ external orbit on the band 1 doughnut-shaped part of the FS. Angular-dependent quantum oscillations measurements are desirable to confirm the assignment of this frequency. Effective masses for several of the frequencies are shown in the right inset to figure 8(b). The values of m^*/m_0 (m_0 is a free electron mass) are between 0.1 and 0.3. The effective mass of the γ -orbit is significantly less than a triple of the β orbit effective mass, consistent with our re-identification of the 2.82 MG frequency. Qualitatively similar to the observation in [10], the amplitude of the α oscillations as a function of temperature has a break at the temperature corresponding to the T_N in SmAgSb₂, whereas the phase of these oscillations does not change at T_N (figure 8(b)), in contrast to previous findings [10]. Other frequencies were not observed reliably above T_N .

3.3. LaAgSb₂

The anisotropic, temperature-dependent thermal expansion of $LaAgSb_2$ is shown in figure 9. Similar to the data for other members of the RAgSb₂ family, linear thermal expansion is anisotropic, $\alpha_a > \alpha_c$. Two CDW transitions [3, 4] are clearly seen in the thermal expansion data: the higher temperature transition manifests itself in both, $\alpha_a(T)$ and $\alpha_c(T)$, whereas the lower temperature transition can be distinguished only in $\alpha_c(T)$; both transitions are seen in the volume thermal expansion, $\beta(T)$. These two CDW transitions are also resolved in heat capacity measurements (figure 10). We can use the Ehrenfest relation (see above) to estimate the uniaxial and hydrostatic pressure derivatives for these two transitions: for higher temperature CDW: $dT_1/dp_a \approx$ 1.0 K kbar⁻¹, $dT_1/dp_c \approx -7.2$ K kbar⁻¹, $dT_1/dP \approx$ -5.4 K kbar^{-1} ; for lower temperature CDW: $dT_2/dp_a \approx 0$, $dT_2/dp_c \approx dT_2/dP \approx -5.9 \text{ K kbar}^{-1}$. The directly measured hydrostatic pressure derivative for the higher temperature CDW, $dT_1/dP \approx -4.3$ K kbar⁻¹ [13, 14], is comparable to the one obtained using the Ehrenfest relation, there are no direct measurements of T_2 under pressure so far. It is noteworthy that both CDW transitions are much more sensitive to the uniaxial



Figure 9. Anisotropic temperature-dependent linear and volume thermal expansion of LaAgSb₂. Dotted vertical lines mark two CDW transitions [3, 4].



Figure 10. Temperature-dependent heat capacity of LaAgSb₂. Inset: enlarged part of the region containing CDW transitions. Arrows mark the transitions.

pressure along the *c*-axis than to that along the *a*-axis and (at least for T_1) the signs of the uniaxial pressure derivatives are opposite; additionally, the inferred hydrostatic pressure derivatives are very similar for both CDW transitions, so that a merging of the two transitions is not expected (at least at moderate pressures).

Quantum oscillations in longitudinal MS for $T \leq 25$ K in LaAgSb₂ ($H \parallel [001]$) are shown in figure 11(a). The extremal orbits observed in magnetostriction are consistent with the ones reported previously [10, 11] and are marked on the FFT spectrum (figure 11(b)) using the convention from [10]. The FFT peak at ≈ 2.14 MG, labeled ξ , possibly corresponds to an extremal orbit that was not previously detected (although a very small peak at a similar frequency can be noticed in a close examination of the FFT spectrum presented in [10]). The small amplitude of this peak at base temperature does not allow for a determination of its effective mass. Extension of the measurements to lower temperatures is desirable for a clarification of the parameters of this orbit.



Figure 11. Oscillations in longitudinal magnetostriction of LaAgSb₂ $(H \parallel L \parallel [001])$. (a) Quantum oscillations of magnetostriction at temperatures up to 25 K plotted as a function of 1/H; (b) fast Fourier transform of the T = 1.85 K data in $\Delta L/L_0(1/H)$ form, see text for labeling of the observed frequencies. Inset to (b): enlarged low-frequency part of the graph. New frequency (ξ) is marked by a red symbol in the electronic version.

Uniaxial stress dependence of the dominant, β , frequency is estimated from the comparison of magnetization and magnetostriction measurements (figure 12) as $\partial \ln S_{\beta}/\partial \sigma_c \approx$ $-13 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ dyn}^{-1}$, similar to that for β orbit in YAgSb₂.

3.4. La_{0.8}Ce_{0.2}AgSb₂ and La_{0.75}Nd_{0.2}AgSb₂

The anisotropic, temperature-dependent thermal expansion and temperature-dependent heat capacity of La_{0.8}Ce_{0.2}AgSb₂



Figure 12. Quantum oscillations in LaAgSb₂ ($H \parallel [001], T = 1.85$ K) as measured by magnetostriction (upper panel) and magnetization (lower panel).

are shown in figure 13. Both CDW and ferromagnetic ordering transitions [13, 14] are clearly seen in the thermal expansion data with the corresponding features in $\alpha_c(T)$ being significantly larger and of opposite sign in comparison with the features in $\alpha_a(T)$. Expectedly, apart from the features associated with the transitions, the overall temperature dependence of the thermal expansion is an intermediate between the pure LaAgSb₂ (see above) and CeAgSb₂ [17]. A clear, λ -shaped, peak in $C_p(T)$ at low temperatures is associated with the ferromagnetic order (figure 13(b), upper left inset). A feature in the heat capacity corresponding to the CDW transition in this material is practically absent (although it is unambiguous, albeit small, in temperaturedependent equivalent Debye temperature, $\Theta_D(T)$ [31, 32], see figure 13(b), lower right inset). From the $C_p(T)$ graph (figure 13(b), lower right inset) we can, very roughly, estimate $\Delta(C_p/T)|_{\text{CDW}} \approx 5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-2}$. From the data in figure 13 and the Ehrenfest relation, we can estimate for the ferromagnetic transition: dT_c/dp_a \approx 0.1 K kbar⁻¹, $dT_c/dp_c \approx -0.46$ K kbar⁻¹, $dT_c/dP \approx$ -0.29 K kbar⁻¹; for CDW: $dT_{CDW}/dp_a \approx 0.7$ K kbar⁻¹, $dT_{CDW}/dp_c \approx -6 \text{ K kbar}^{-1}, dT_{CDW}/dP \approx -5 \text{ K kbar}^{-1}.$ Directly measured [14] hydrostatic pressure derivatives of ${
m La}_{0.8}{
m Ce}_{0.2}{
m AgSb}_2$ are ${
m d}T_c/{
m d}P~pprox~-0.2~{
m K~kbar^{-1}}$ (close to the above estimate) and $dT_{\rm CDW}/dP~pprox~-14~{\rm K~kbar^{-1}}.$ The absolute value of dT_{CDW}/dP obtained from the Ehrenfest relation is significantly underestimated, probably due to very poor evaluation of $\Delta(C_p/T)|_{CDW}$ (figure 13(b), lower right



Figure 13. (a) Anisotropic temperature-dependent linear and volume thermal expansion of $La_{0.8}Ce_{0.2}AgSb_2$. The dotted vertical line marks the CDW transition. Inset: enlarged low temperature part of the data. (b) Temperature-dependent heat capacity of $La_{0.8}Ce_{0.2}AgSb_2$. Upper left inset: enlarged low temperature part of the graph. Lower right inset: enlarged part of the $C_p(T)$ graph containing CDW transition and $\Theta_D(T)$ in the same temperature region. The dotted vertical line marks the transition. Red (in the electronic version) straight lines are guides for the eye.

inset), still the existent TE data (keeping in mind that there cannot be ambiguity in the sign of the $\Delta(C_p/T)|_{CDW}$) show that T_{CDW} increases in this material if the uniaxial pressure is applied in the *ab* plane but decreases for pressure along the *c*-axis and the rate of change in T_{CDW} is ~9 times higher for the pressure along *c*, e.g. the response is slightly more anisotropic than for higher temperature CDW in pure LaAgSb₂ (see above).

A similar set of data for La_{0.75}Nd_{0.2}AgSb₂ is shown in the two panels of figure 14. This material does not have long range magnetic order (at least above 1.8 K) [13, 14]. Broad, low temperature (around 10 K), anomaly in TE and heat capacity is probably associated with the crystalline electric field effects. While CDW transition is clearly seen in TE, similarly to La_{0.8}Ce_{0.2}AgSb₂, $C_p(T)$ data basically have no indication of the CDW transition, even though a weak feature is present in $\Theta_D(T)$ (figure 14(b), inset). Very roughly we can estimate



Figure 14. (a) Anisotropic temperature-dependent linear and volume thermal expansion of La_{0.75}Nd_{0.25}AgSb₂. The dotted vertical line marks the CDW transition. (b) Temperature-dependent heat capacity of La_{0.8}Nd_{0.25}AgSb₂. Inset: enlarged part of the $C_p(T)$ graph containing CDW transition and $\Theta_D(T)$ in the same temperature region. The dotted vertical line marks the transition. Red lines are guides for the eye.

(an upper limit of) $\Delta(C_p/T)|_{\text{CDW}} \approx 5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-2}$. Then $dT_{\text{CDW}}/dp_a \approx 2 \text{ K kbar}^{-1}$, $dT_{\text{CDW}}/dp_c \approx -9 \text{ K kbar}^{-1}$, $dT_{\text{CDW}}/dP \approx -6 \text{ K kbar}^{-1}$. The inferred value of the hydrostatic pressure derivative is very close to the directly measured $dT_{\text{CDW}}/dP = -5.7 \text{ K kbar}^{-1}$ [14]. The changes in $\alpha_a(T)$, $\alpha_c(T)$ and $\beta(T)$ are of the same sign but larger than that in La_{0.8}Ce_{0.2}AgSb₂ with similar T_{CDW} . The anisotropy of the uniaxial pressure response in La_{0.75}Nd_{0.2}AgSb₂ is somewhat smaller than that in LaAgSb₂ and La_{0.8}Ce_{0.2}AgSb₂.

4. Summary

The linear thermal expansion in all materials studied in this work is anisotropic, with $\alpha_a > \alpha_c$, apart for the region of magnetic phase transitions, when present. Long range magnetic ordering and CDW transitions present clear

anomalies in the thermal expansion data. Uniaxial pressure derivatives inferred, by using the Ehrenfest relation, suggest that CDW transition temperatures increase when pressure is applied in the *ab* plane and decrease with pressure along the *c*-axis, the same is true for the ferromagnetic transition in $La_{0.8}Ce_{0.2}AgSb_2$, whereas the signs of the uniaxial pressure derivatives are reversed in the case of the antiferromagnetic transition in SmAgSb₂. In all cases the effect of the pressure along the *c*-axis is significantly stronger than when the pressure is applied in the *ab* plane.

de Haas-van Alphen-like quantum oscillations in the longitudinal ($H \parallel L \parallel [001]$) magnetostriction were observed in the three pure compounds, YAgSb₂, SmAgSb₂, and LaAgSb₂, up to the temperatures as high as 25 K. For the two latter samples new extremal orbits may have been detected.

Acknowledgments

The Ames Laboratory is operated for the US Department of Energy by Iowa State University under Contract No. DE-AC02-07CH11358. Work at the Ames Laboratory was supported by the director for Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences. MST was supported by the National Science Foundation under DMR-0306165. GMS was supported by the National Science Foundation under DMR-0704406. SLB acknowledges McClelland's help in the intermediate stage of this work. All five primary motivations were invoked as driving this work.

References

- Brylyak M, Möller M H and Jeitschko W 1995 J. Solid State Chem. 115 305
- [2] Sologub O, Noël H, Leithe-Jasper A, Rogl P and Bodak O I 1995 J. Solid State Chem. 115 441
- [3] Myers K D, Bud'ko S L, Fisher I R, Islam Z, Kleinke H, Lacerda A H and Canfield P C 1999 J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 205 27
- [4] Song C, Park J, Koo J, Lee K-B, Rhee J Y, Bud'ko S L, Canfield P C, Harmon B N and Goldman A I 2003 *Phys. Rev.* B 68 035113
- [5] Houshiar M, Adroja D T and Rainford B D 1995 J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 115 305
- [6] Muro Y, Takeda N and Ishikawa M 1997 J. Alloys Compounds 257 23
- [7] Sidorov V A, Bauer E D, Frederick N A, Jeffries J R, Nakatsuji S, Moreno N O, Thompson J D, Maple M B and Fisk Z 2003 *Phys. Rev.* B 67 224419
- [8] Jobiliong E, Brooks J S, Choi E S, Lee H and Fisk Z 2005 Phys. Rev. B 72 104428
- [9] Myers K D, Canfield P C, Kalatsky V A and Pokrovsky V L 1999 Phys. Rev. B 59 1121
- [10] Myers K D, Bud'ko S L, Antropov V P, Harmon B N, Canfield P C and Lacerda A H 1999 Phys. Rev. B 60 13371
- [11] Inada Y, Thamizhavel A, Yamagami H, Takeuchi T, Sawai Y, Ikeda S, Shishido H, Okubo T, Yamada M, Sugiyama K, Nakamura N, Yamamoto T, Kindo K, Ebihara T, Galatanu A, Yamamoto E, Settai R and Ōnuki Y 2002 *Phil. Mag.* B **82** 1867
- [12] Prozorov R, Vannette M D, Samolyuk G D, Law S A, Bud'ko S L and Canfield P C 2007 Phys. Rev. B 75 014413
- [13] Bud'ko S L, Wiener T A, Ribeiro R A, Canfield P C, Lee Y, Vogt T and Lacerda A H 2006 Phys. Rev. B 73 184111

- [14] Torikachvili M S, Bud'ko S L, Law S A, Tillman M E, Mun E D and Canfield P C 2007 Preprint 0708.1098
- [15] Watanabe Y, Inada Y, Hidaka H, Kotegawa H, Kobayashi T C, Matsuda T D and Aoki D 2006 *Physica* B 378–380 827
- [16] Adroja D T, Riedi P C, Armitage J M G and Fort D 2003 Acta Phys. Pol. B 34 945
- [17] Takeuchi T, Thamizhavel A, Okubo T, Yamada M, Nakamura N, Yamamoto T, Inada Y, Sigiyama K, Galatanu A, Yamamoto E, Kindo K, Ebihara T and Ōnuki Y 2003 *Phys. Rev.* B 67 064403
- [18] Canfield P C and Fisk Z 1992 Phil. Mag. B 65 1117
- [19] Schmiedeshoff G M, Lounsbury A W, Luna D J, Tracy S J, Schramm A J, Tozer S W, Correa V F, Hannahs S T, Murphy T P, Palm E C, Lacerda A H, Bud'ko S L, Canfield P C, Smith J L, Lashley J C and Cooley J C 2006 *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 77 123907
- [20] Eiling A and Schilling J S 1981 J. Phys. F: Met. Phys. 11 623
- [21] Souza J A, Yu Y-K, Neumeier J J, Terashita H and Jardim R F 2005 Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 207209

- [22] Andersen O K 1975 Phys. Rev. B 12 3060
- [23] Andersen O K and Jepsen O 1984 Phys. Rev. Lett. 53 2571
- [24] von Barth A and Hedin L 1972 J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 5 1629
- [25] Barron T H K and White G K 1999 Heat Capacity and Thermal Expansion at Low Temperatures (New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum)
- [26] Krishan R S, Srinivasan R and Devanarayanan S 1979 Thermal Expansion of Crystals (Oxford: Pergamon)
- [27] Chandrasekhar B S and Fawcett E 1971 Adv. Phys. 20 775
- [28] Thompson J D 1984 Rev. Sci. Instrum. 55 231
- [29] Fisher M E and Langer J S 1968 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 20 665[30] Shoenberg D 1984 *Magnetic Oscillations in Metals*
- (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press) [31] Blackman M 1941 *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **8** 11
- [51] Blackman W 1941 Kep. Flog. Flys. 6 11
- [32] Quantum Design 2000 Physical Property Measurement System. Heat Capacity Option User's Manual (San Diego, CA: Quantum Design) Part Number 1085-150F